G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. (1924) **File No.** 113-24

Mny 379-21 Sl 2
23 Poli &

Serial Nos. 1-2.

Later references
Mny 285-25-1-2.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for December 1924

SUBJECT

Recommends sanction to the revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhaneekars at the Cutch Coal Mines for one year Temporarily for one year.

D. 765-6 dated 28.11.24.

To the Mny. Comr Congoota

Sanctioned. A report about the financial results may be obtained & submitted at the end of the year period

G. R S. 11] 1924-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No. 113 -24

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhandikars for carrying in procession the vahanams of Sri Ranganathaswamy at Seringapatam.

From

The Mug: Comr. in Mysore

No. C. 496 of 24-25 Dated 25.10.24 Received 29.10.24

Diary No. 495 Enclos 2 sh. Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends sanction to the revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhandikars at the extra cost of Rs 160 per year, temporarily for one year and states that he would submit a report in the meanwhile about the financial results.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The revision of the rates of wages payable to the Bhandikars for carrying vahanams on the occasions of Utsavams of the temple has been proposed on the ground of the existing difficulties explained in para 1 of the

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

of the Mng: Comr's letter. They are chiefly (1) paucity of men & (2) recalcitrant attitude of the Bhandikars.

2 It will be seen from the Dy: Comr's letter that the cost of wages at present is Rs 125-4-0 & that it is proposed to raise it to Rs 285-4-0, the add! cost being Rs 160. This amount is proposed to be met partly out of Golaka collections & partly out of reserve. This is reported to have been supported by the Dharmadarsis, but their resolution is not forthcoming among the rev: papers of the file. The existing & the proposed rates of wages payable to the Bhandikars in each of the 14 Utsavams are given in a statement furnished by the Builder.

3 On account of the inconvenience experienced by the temple servants on Utsavam occasions and of the assurance given by them that the income from Golaka collections & sevartha fees would increase, the Mng: Comr. recommends that the revision of rates proposed may be sanctd temporarily for one year & the add! cost of Rs 160/- met out of the amount of "Reserve" under 17 Mus:

in the revised scale of expenditure of the temple (vide G.O. No. 639-41/Mny 379-25-2 Scroll No. E
& 9.8.22). By that time he promises to obtain a fresh report from the D.C. about the financial results and submit it to Govt.

4. Submd for orders as to whether the proposal may be sanctd.

Sd/- 6.11.24 7.11.24

May perhaps be sanctd

7.11.24.

239/8.11 2nd member)

The proposal is sanctd (Letter for one year only as recommended by the D.C.)

11/11 14.11.24

11.11.24

Draft G.O. submd.

Sd/- 12.11.24 12.11.24

Is approved

19.11.24.

Rev. Secy) Approved as proposed

25

Done

25.11.24

To the Mmgr. Ummayya Kamatchiamma No. 765-6 2
dt. 28.11.24. Sanctions the revision of the rates of wages proposed by the Mmgrni Ummayya Kamatchiamma

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

temporarily for a period of 1 year, the addl. cost of Rs. 160 a year being met from the amount of "Reserve" in the punctn. scale, and requests that a report about the financial results may be obtained & submitted at the end of the said period.

G.R.S. III 21

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

C.496 of 24-25

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bra one and one file

Dated Bangalore, 24th October 1924.
25th

From

K. Chanay, Esquire., P.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dept. Muz. 26. OCT. 1924. Bangalore.

29 OCT 1924 MUZRAI

To

R. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., P.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Muz. 193-24-1.

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.No.159/24-25 dated 2nd October 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, soliciting sanction for the revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhandikars for carrying in procession the vahanams of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam on 14 occasions noted in the statement furnished by the temple Peshkar and enclosed in the records.

The practical difficulties experienced by the temple authorities in conducting the utsavams on stated occasions owing to the paucity of men and the recalcitrant attitude of the Bhandikars are detailed in the reports of the local officers.

2. The proposals now made and agreed to by the Dharmadarsis amount to an addition of Rs.160/- to the allotment under III Hetchukattle (Vide G.O.No.669-41/M.F.379-21-2 dated 9th August 1922) by a corresponding reduction in the amount of "reserve".

In view, however, of the inconvenience felt locally and of the assurance held out that the income accruing to the temple from the Golaka collections and the several fees will considerably improve, I beg to recommend that sanction for revising the rates of wages to Bhandikars at the cost of Rs.160/- a year may kindly be accorded temporarily for one year by which time a fresh report about the financial results will be obtained from the Deputy Commissioner and

and submitted.

3. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzral Commissioner.

KKR.

Letter No.C.159/24-25 dated 30th September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to G.O.No.639-41/Muz..579-21-2 dated the 9th August 1922 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure for the Sri Ranganathaswamy -- temple at Seringapatam, I have the honor to state that it was reported by the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk, through the Sub Division Officer, ---- French Rocks, that the rates of wages to bandikars who carry Vahanams in the Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple during the several Utsavams, have to be -- revised, as they refuse to carry the deities in procession at the rates provided in the sanctioned scale of expenditure. The Amildar while --- stating that the rates payable to bandikars for different utsavams in the Temple aggregating to Rs.125-4-0 as provided in the scale of expenditure have to be raised to Rs.285-4-0 resulting in an additional expenditure -- of Rs.160/-, submitted proposals for sanction. This report together with the proposals ~~for~~ was returned to the Sub Division Officer on 12-1-24 to point out the source from which this extra charge could be met. It is reported that in the sanctioned scale of income and expenditure, Rs.162-4-0 is ~~shown~~ shown as realizations from Golaka collections per annum, while actually the income on this account that is being credited to Temple funds is Rs.30/-. It is proposed that this extra charge of Rs.160/- above -- referred to might be partly met out of Golaka collections and partly in the provision of Rs.195/- under miscellaneous reserve head (Flag A) The -- Temple Dharmadarsis also agree to the above proposal. Moreover, rates for several sevas in the above temple have been quite recently sanctioned, with a view to improve the resources of the institution.

Under the circumstances, I request that sanction may kindly be --- accorded to the proposals submitted by the Taluk Amildar for revising the rates of wages to the Bandikars.

The records received with the correspondence ended with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's Memo No.R.O.C.219/23-24 dated 4-9-24 are -- submitted for kind reference."

"Copy"

KSR.

for Muzrai Commissioner. 24/10/24

Mur. 7]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No of letter replied to... 495

Mysore Secretariat

(Mys)

the 192 .

Date of issue .. 28 11 24

Signed by. Sir T. Ramaraya

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter no. C. 496 of 24-25 & 25.10.24 from the Mys: Com in Mysore requesting sanction for the revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhandikars for carrying in procession the vahanams of the Sri Ranganathaswami Devaru at Seringapatam on 14 occasions noted in the statement sent up by him

Order:-

The proposal to increase the wages of Bhandikars has

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

compd
28/11/24

been ~~proposed~~ made on the ground
of paucity of men and the ~~recalcitrant attitude~~ inadequate wages of the Gli
Bhandikars. The ~~cost of~~
wages ~~as at present~~ at present amount to Rs 125-4-0
and ~~this has been~~ the included
in the provision for Hebelukalle
items in the scale of expenditure
of the temple sanctd in G.O.
No. 639-41/Mny 379-21-2 dy 9-8-22.
~~The~~ increase of the wages ~~proposed~~ now proposed
~~also~~ to the Bhandikars, as
~~detailed in the statement~~
annexed hereto, ~~the total~~
~~cost amounts to~~ Rs 285-4-0, the total amount
~~the~~ extra cost ~~being~~ of Rs 160 and this
this has been proposed to be
met out of the "Reserve" under
~~V~~ mis: in the scale referred
to above. ~~It has also been proposed~~
It is seen from the ver: papers that
~~by the temple authorities~~ that
the income accruing to the
temple from the Golaka has considerably improved
collections and ~~the receipts~~

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22

T. B S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

~~fees recently revised~~

and it has been assured that there will be a considerable increase in the income from the sewarthu fees recently revised. ~~with this increase.~~

2. Under the circumstances represented, Govt. are pleased to sanction the revision of the rates of wages payable to Bhandikars at an additional cost of Rs 160 a year, temporarily for a period of one year, as recommended by the Mny: Commr; ~~and~~ the addl. cost met, as proposed, from the amount of "Reserve" in the sanctd. scale -

~~The~~ A report about the financial results may be obtained and subd at the end of the said period, ~~as promised~~.

19.11.24.

Secretary
Rev: Deptt.
12/11/24

To

The Mny: Commr. in reply
Refg. yr: records
" Comptr. to Govt.

WE 2230—GPB—1 00,000—23 7 20

12.11.24